Serial No.: 10/672,902 Attorney Docket No.: 2003P08213US

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 1 0 2006

## REMARKS

Claims 1-18 are pending,

Claims 1-3, 7-9, and 14-15 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Stewart, U.S. Patent No. 6,643,516 ("Stewart") in view of Reichelt et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,349,206 ("Reichelt"). Applicants respectfully submit that the claimed invention is not taught, suggested, or implied by Stewart or Reichelt, either singly or in combination.

As discussed in the Specification, and in response to the previous Official Action, the present invention relates to a presence and location system, including one or more remote devices and servers. In certain embodiments of the present invention, either or both of the remote units and the server(s) may be provided with a watchdog timer to allow for confirmation the remote units are still running. The watchdog timer(s) activate or begin their count when a device is detected as being present or registers with the server.

Thus, claim 1 recites "wherein said positioning server includes a timer for determining when said position information is to be received from associated ones of said plurality of network clients responsive to receiving indicia of a presence of said associated ones;" claim 7 recites "a wireless data controller adapted to receive said positioning information from said positioning controller and cause said positioning information to be transmitted to an associated server at predetermined intervals responsive to an activation with the associated server;" and claim 14 recites "said timer being activated responsive to a registration of said associated ones with said server."

In contrast, Stewart provides a system in which a calling party transmits a location request code when calling a remote party. The called remote party can then respond with its location and periodic updates. However, a timer does not activate until the called party makes the request. Thus, and as acknowledged in the Official Action, Stewart does not relate to a watchdog timer being activated responsive to a registration of a remote party to a server, as generally recited in the claims at issue.

Serial No.: 10/672,902 Attorney Docket No.: 2003P08213US

Instead, Reichelt is relied on for allegedly providing such teaching. However, in the present invention, as generally recited in the claims at issue, a timer is started when a presence or registration is detected, and an update is sent on expiration of the timer. In contrast, in Reichelt, a server starts a timer when a location changes, i.e., the MT moves into an invalid area; if the MT has not moved within a valid area during the timing, upon expiration of the timer, the call is disconnected. Position information is thus not received upon expiration of the timer. The timer simply exists to provide a warning period prior to disconnection. Detection and transmission of movement of the MT into a valid location can occur any time prior to the expiration of the timer. The timer then shuts off until such time as the MT again enters an invalid area.

Because neither reference relates to a timer as recited in the claims at issue, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection.

Claims 4-6 and 16-18 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Stewart in view of Reichelt and Verdonk, U.S. Patent No. 6,330,454 ("Verdonk"). Applicants respectfully submit that the claimed invention is not taught, suggested, or implied by Stewart, Reichelt or Verdonk, either singly or in combination.

Stewart and Reichelt have been discussed above. Verdonk is relied upon for allegedly teaching a server querying a device for location. However, like Stewart and Reichelt, Verdonk does not provide for activating a timer upon device registration with a server or for determining when position information is to be received. As such, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection.

Claim 10 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentablve over Stewart in view of Reichelt and Mcdowell, U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0035605 ("McDowell"). Applicants respectfully submit that the claimed invention is not taught, suggested, or implied by Stewart, Reichelt, or McDowell, either singly or in combination. As discussed above, in certain embodiments of the present invention, either or both of the remote units and the server(s) may be provided with a watchdog timer to allow for confirmation the remote units are still running. The timer determines when location information is to be received. The watchdog timer(s) activate or begin their count when a device is detected as being present or registers with the server.

Serial No.: 10/672,902

Attorney Docket No.: 2003P08213US

Thus, claim 10 recites "wherein said location control unit includes a timer for determining when said location information is to be received from associated ones of said plurality of users, said timer being activated responsive to a registration of said associated ones with said telecommunications server."

Stewart and Reichelt have been discussed above. McDowell is relied on for allegedly teaching a presence control unit or location control unit. However, like Stewart, McDowell does not provide for a timer being activated responsive to a registration of said associated ones with said telecommunications server or for determining when location information is to be received. In McDowell, when a user requests "location-sensitive information" (para. 0083), location information is obtained. However, a location timer is nowhere activated responsive to device registration. As such, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection.

Claims 11-13 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentablye over Stewart, McDowell, Reichelt, and Verdonk. Each of these has been discussed above. For reasons similar to those discussed, Applicants respectfully submit that these claims, too, are not obvious. As such, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection.

For all of the above reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that the application is in condition for allowance, which allowance is earnestly solicited.

Date: 7-7-0-6

Respectfully submitted,

SIEMENS CORPORATION Customer Number: 28524

Intellectual Property Department

170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, New Jersey 08830

ATTENTION: Elsa Keller, IP Department

Telephone: (732) 321-3026

David D. Chung

Registration No. 38,409 Attorney for Applicants Tel: 650-694-5339

Fax: 650-968-4517